The motion and active deformation of India
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Abstract. Measurements of surface displacements using GPS constrain the motion and deformation of India and India-Eurasia plate boundary deformation along the Himalaya. The GPS velocities of plate-interior sites constrain the pole of the angular velocity vector of India with respect to Eurasia to lie at 25.6 ± 1.0 °N 11.1 ± 9.0 °E, approximately 6° west of the NUVEL-1A pole of < 3 Ma plate motion. The angular rotation rate of 0.44 ± 0.03 oMyr -1 is 14% slower than the long-term rate of 0.51° oMyr -1. Insignificant velocities between plate interior sites indicate that the exposed Indian plate is stable to within 7 · 10−9 year -1. The observed contraction vector across the Himalaya (≤ 20 mm/yr) veers from ∼N20°E in the northwest Himalaya to ∼N25°W in east Nepal, consistent with east-west extension of southern Tibet.

Introduction

Since ∼50 Ma, the Indian subcontinent has been in rapid collision with Eurasia. The width of the zone of convergence ranges from approximately 1500 km in the west, where convergence is about 43 mm/yr, to approximately 3500 km in the east, where approach velocities attain 52 mm/yr [DeMets et al., 1994]. Recent geologic studies of Himalayan frontal thrust faults (HFF) suggest that they absorb as much as 50% of the convergence [Lave and Avouac, 2000].

Freymueller et al. [1996], using GPS data collected in Bangalore (IISC) in southern India and at several global GPS sites, found agreement between the current Indian plate motion with that predicted by the < 3 Ma plate motion model, NUVEL-1A [DeMets et al., 1994]. More recent analyses [Chen et al., 2000; Shen et al., 2000], however, suggest that the motion of Bangalore is 5-7 mm/yr slower than NUVEL-1A. GPS data from sites distributed across India permit these estimates to be refined.

The Indian Peninsula is generally considered to be a stable continental shield, however, the occurrence of significant earthquakes in the plate interior indicates that minor deformation occurs within India [Gupta, 1993; Bilham et al., 1998]. While geologic evidence for recent coastal emergence and subsidence remains equivocal [Subrahmanya, 1994], recent tide gauge and leveling data appear to confirm long wavelength vertical deformation [Bendick and Bilham, 1999]. A GPS survey of triangulation monuments south of 1°N revealed no significant shear strain > 0.01 μ strain yr -1 in the past 160 years [Paul et al., 1995].

In contrast, convergence across the Himalaya of northern India and Nepal is significant. About 20 mm/yr of India and Eurasia's convergence is accommodated across the Nepal Himalaya [Bilham et al., 1997]. The zone of maximum convergence rates is well north of the surface trace of the HFF system, which accommodates much of the geologic shortening of the last few million years [Lave and Avouac, 2000]. This is consistent with models of elastic strain accumulation north of the rupture zones of past and future great earthquakes, which rupture from the strain accumulation zone southward to the HFF [Bilham et al., 1997]. Earthquake focal mechanisms [Molnar and Lyon-Caen, 1989] suggest that the convergence axis rotates counterclockwise from west to east along the arc to remain in a near arc-perpendicular orientation, consistent with east-west hanging wall extension across southern Tibet [Armijo et al., 1986].

Here we integrate GPS measurements throughout India, including sites in the Garhwal and Kumaon Himalayas, with the data of Larson et al. [1999] to (1) determine the motion of the Indian plate, (2) estimate strain within the Indian subcontinent, and (3) constrain the orientation of Himalayan convergence between longitudes 77°-92°E.

Data Acquisition and Analysis

Site occupations commonly lasted three days, and 20 to 24 hours each day. Data for days when there was physical disturbance to the antenna were excluded from the analysis.

We use the Bernese 4.0 GPS analysis software and precise International GPS Service (IGS) orbits and pole orientation parameters. Station coordinates are computed in the ITRF96 reference frame [Sillard et al., 1998] by applying tight position constraints to the coordinates of IISC, KIT3, and POL2. Average day-to-day repeatabilities of baseline measurements are 3 mm, 8 mm, and 15 mm in the north, east and vertical components, respectively. The Nepal GPS data are analyzed using the GIPSY software developed at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory as described in Larson et al. [1999] and are also referenced to ITRF96.

Motion of the Indian Plate

The GPS vectors are defined in a geodetic reference frame (ITRF96), which minimizes misfit with respect to NNR-NUVEL1A for sites outside plate boundary zones. Thus to place the geodetic velocities in an India fixed frame, we subtract the no-net-rotation (NNR) NUVEL-1A [Argus and Gordon, 1991; DeMets et al., 1994] model velocities for India for each site. As noted by previous authors [Chen et al., 2000; Shen et al., 2000], the geodetic data require a slower India plate rate, and we compute a new India-plate mo-
Deformation of the Indian Plate

The velocities of most sites located on the Indian plate, south of the main frontal thrusts of the Himalaya, are not significantly different from zero (Fig. 1). Data from BHOP 500 km south of the Himalaya are considered unreliable because of an unexplained vertical change of 120 ± 40 mm between 1995 and 1998 and will not be considered further. Only one site in the southern Trigon (PONN) has velocities significantly different from zero at 95% confidence (Fig. 1). We compute uniform horizontal strain tensors from the plate-interior site velocities. With all Trigon sites and JNUC and SHIL included, we find a north-south shortening rate of 6.5 ± 1.0 · 10^{-9} yr^{-1} and east-west contraction at 2.6 ± 4.6 · 10^{-9} yr^{-1}. Excluding PONN, JNUC and SHIL results in 2.1 ± 6.1 and 2.6 ± 8.4 · 10^{-9} yr^{-1} strain rates in the north-south and east-west directions, respectively.

Deformation Along the Himalaya

By integrating the Nepal GPS data with the India network data to the west and east, we provide new constraints on convergence along a ~1500-km-long central portion of the Himalayan arc. Southward velocities increase from near zero at the foot of the Himalaya to 15-22 mm/yr north of the higher Himalaya (Fig. 3). There is no evidence of significant aseismic accommodation of Himalayan convergence along the portion of the Himalaya currently covered by geodetic observations. The axes of maximum shortening indicated by the GPS data swing counterclockwise from ~N20°E at 77°E to ~N25°W at 92°E, consistent with arc-normal convergence.

Discussion and Conclusions

Our estimated convergence rate of India relative to Eurasia is 14% slower than that determined from seafloor spreading rates, transform-fault strikes, and focal mechanisms [DeMets et al., 1994]. Holt et al. [2000] use a combination of Quaternary fault slip rates and GPS observations to obtain an angular velocity vector at 29.88°N, 7.50°E, with an angular velocity of 0.35 Myr^{-1} (Fig. 2), also indicating a reduced rate. Recent reevaluation of seafloor spreading rates and transform-fault strikes suggests a reduced northward rate of India, by about 25% [Gordon et al., 1999]. Thus, it appears that the difference between active India plate motion and the geologic plate motion model is due to problems in establishing the geologic plate motion circuit (R. Gordon, 2000, pers. commun.), rather than a deceleration of convergence since 3 Ma.

Two recent analyses of the velocity of Bangalore (IISC) relative to Asia also suggest that slower rates prevail than those inferred from NUVEL-1A [Chen et al., 2000; Shen et al., 2000], and slower than those reported by Larson et al. [1999]. Subsequent re-analysis of the data used in Larson et al. [1999] reveals an error linking the three 1991-97 Bangalore points, whose removal causes all three GPS analyses to agree.

A test of the validity of the NUVEL-1A pole between India and SE-Asia is inconclusive. CARI (Port Blair) on the Andaman Islands is located within the Indo-Asian plate boundary zone (Fig. 1). The unknown degree of seismic cou-
pling of the east-dipping Indian plate below CARI (Fig. 4) does not permit an independent estimate of the full Andaman velocity. Vector summation diagrams for the India/Andaman/Sunda/Eurasia and India/Andaman/Sunda plate circuits are shown in Fig. 4. Back-arc spreading at 37.2 mm/yr occurs locally at N25°W beneath the Andaman Sea [Curray et al., 1979], and the NUVEL-1A model predicts oblique convergence of the Asian plate at 54.0 mm/yr at N22°E [DeMets et al., 1994]. Sunda motion has recently been reported relative to Eurasia (12-15 mm E) and relative to India (45-52 mm/yr S) [Simons et al., 1999]. The vector diagram of these motions (Fig. 4) indicates that CARI samples approximately 50% of the India/Andaman convergence velocity. If this missing velocity fraction west of Port Blair is ignored, angular closure of the vector diagram is within the errors of measurement. A somewhat better fit is obtained with a 9% reduction in the NUVEL-1A India/Eurasia velocity vector.

Our repeated GPS measurements of sites of the southern Trigon and additional sites in northern India detect no significant strain across India larger than \(7 \times 10^{-9}\) yr\(^{-1}\). This result is an order of magnitude lower than our previous estimate of Indian Plate stability based on 160 years of historic triangulation data [Paul et al., 1995]. We elected to not use data from three continuous monitoring stations in the Terai of Nepal in the determination of Indian plate stability, since they monitor a small fraction of the Himalayan convergence field and may include a postseismic deformation signal from the 1934 Bihar/Nepal earthquake. Inclusion of these data would have further reduced the maximum permitted strain rate across India. Geodetic deformation rates are higher than estimates from seismic moment summation of historic (0.3 \(-\ 1 \times 10^{-11}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) and instrumental seismic data (1 \(-\ 3 \times 10^{-10}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) [Bird and Liu, 2000]. A further decade may be required before we may geodetically determine whether the Indian plate is stable at the level indicated by the rates of seismic moment release.

JNUC and SHIL have southward velocities of 3.7 \(\pm\) 1.5 mm/yr and 6.3 \(\pm\) 3.8 mm/yr, respectively. Elastic strain associated with Himalayan convergence decays to negligible southward velocities at these distances [Bilham et al., 1997]. However, it is possible that viscous adjustments near the great 1905 Kangra and 1897 Assam earthquakes north of Delhi and Shillong, respectively, may explain the rates and sense of motion. Alternatively, the observed high rates of seismicity beneath the Shillong Plateau (Fig. 1) may signify that the motion of SHIL is caused by plateau-uplift processes. The southward motion of the Shillong Plateau with respect to India implies that convergence across the Bhutan Himalaya is at least 25% slower than elsewhere in the Himalaya.

Velocity vectors across the Himalaya are approximately arc-normal between longitudes 77° and 92°. The longitude-dependence of these vectors is consistent with the azimuths of maximum principal stress axes (Fig. 3) derived from earthquake focal mechanisms [Zoback, 1992] and east-west extension of southern Tibet at 20 mm/yr [Armijo et al., 1986]. Improved spatial coverage and measurement precision will be required to better resolve the spatial and temporal details of the plate boundary deformation.
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